

Geert Keil

»Willensfreiheit. Antworten auf Walde, Willaschek und Jäger«

*Deutsche Zeitschrift für Philosophie* 57 (2009), 781-795

*Abstract*

The article is a reply to three reviews of my book *Willensfreiheit* (Berlin/New York 2007) which were published in a previous issue of this journal. In the book, I develop a libertarian account of free will that invokes neither uncaused events nor mind-body dualism nor agent causality. Against Bettina Walde's criticism, I argue that a well-balanced libertarianism can evade the luck objection and that it should not be portrayed as positing tiny causal gaps in an otherwise deterministic world. Against Marcus Willaschek's Moorean compatibilism, I argue that our ordinary notion of agency commits us to genuine two-way abilities, i. e. to abilities to do otherwise given the same past and laws of nature. Against Christoph Jäger's defence of van Inwagen's consequence argument, I insist that this argument for incompatibilism is seriously flawed and that libertarians are well-advised not to base their position upon it.